

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, MAY 2, 2012  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S107653****PEOPLE v. CRAWFORD  
(CHARLES EDWARD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David Joseph Macher's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 11, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 5, 2012. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 250 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S119296****PEOPLE v. BATTLE  
(THOMAS LEE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Heidi Bjornson-Pennell's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 2, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 9, 2012.

After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S130495****MASTERS (JARVIS J.) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of the petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the parties' responses to the exceptions to the report of the referee and simultaneous briefs on the merits is extended to May 3, 2012. After that date, no further extensions will be granted.

**S132256****PEOPLE v. HELZER (GLEN  
TAYLOR)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 26, 2012.

**S133510****PEOPLE v. MICKEL  
(ANDREW HAMPTON)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Robert C. Nash's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by August 22, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 3, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 50 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S174475**

B204902 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**SONIC-CALABASAS A, INC.  
v. MORENO (FRANK B.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of The California New Car Dealers Association and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the application for permission to file amicus curiae brief is extended to May 25, 2012.

**S191765****BROWNLEE (GARY) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to May 29, 2012.

**S198434**

B229615 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**PEOPLE v. DAVIS  
(ZACHARY EDWARD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief is extended to May 30, 2012.

**S199557**

D057446 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**SAN DIEGO, CITY OF v.  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF  
THE CALIFORNIA STATE  
UNIVERSITY**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to July 2, 2012. No further extensions are contemplated.

**S072161****PEOPLE v. POTTS  
(THOMAS)**

Order filed

Good cause appearing, counsel's application for permission to file reply brief exceeding 47,600 words on behalf of appellant is granted.

**S089478****PEOPLE v. MAI (HUNG  
THANH)**

Order filed

Good cause appearing, appellant's application for leave to file a reply brief longer than 47,600 words is granted.

**S124660****RENO ON H.C.**

Order filed

On or before May 11, 2012, petitioner and respondent may serve and file simultaneous supplemental opening letter briefs limited to the following questions:

- (1) Should the court impose page (or word) limits for second and subsequent (exhaustion) habeas corpus petitions in capital cases?;
- (2) If so, what should the page (or word) limits be?; and
- (3) As a general matter, may financial sanctions be imposed on attorneys who file an abusive habeas corpus petition with the court? (See *In re White* (2004) 121 Cal.App.4th 1453.)

On or before May 18, 2012, petitioner and respondent may serve and file simultaneous supplemental reply letter briefs.

Counsel may serve and file all supplemental briefs by e-mail or by facsimile, with the original to follow by mail.

Any interested entity may file an amicus curiae brief, subject to the same time limits.

This matter, which was argued on May 1, 2012, will be submitted for decision when the time to file supplemental briefs has expired. (Calif. Rules of Court, Rule 8.256(d)(1).)

**S146528****PEOPLE v. SNYDER  
(JANEEN MARIE) &  
THORNTON (MICHAEL  
FORREST)**

Order filed

The order filed May 1, 2012, granting an extension of time in which to file appellant Michael Thornton's opening brief is corrected nunc pro tunc as to the case title.

**S201663****BAKER (ANTHONY T.) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District.

**S201860****FROST ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RONALD JACK FROST, State Bar Number 105120, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

RONALD JACK FROST must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201861****GORDON ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DIANA LENORE GORDON, State Bar Number 139472, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DIANA LENORE GORDON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201862****GOULD ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CORNELIA REYNOLDS GOULD, State Bar Number 76115, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

CORNELIA REYNOLDS GOULD must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201863****GRIMWADE ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICHARD LLEWELLYN GRIMWADE, State Bar Number 101740, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

RICHARD LLEWELLYN GRIMWADE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201866****KNIGHT ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LINDA MAYO KNIGHT, State Bar Number 84432, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

LINDA MAYO KNIGHT must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201867****KRESSE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARTIN HOBAN KRESSE, State Bar Number 48132, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MARTIN HOBAN KRESSE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201869****LEWIS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARIO MANUEL LEWIS, State Bar Number 57623, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MARIO MANUEL LEWIS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201870****MALDONADO ON  
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KIRK FRANCIS MALDONADO, State Bar Number 103609, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

KIRK FRANCIS MALDONADO must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201872****MURPHY ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of NATALIE ANNE MURPHY, State Bar Number 79639, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

NATALIE ANNE MURPHY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar

days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**S201874****RUBINSTEIN ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of HOLLY MILLS RUBINSTEIN, State Bar Number 111159, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

HOLLY MILLS RUBINSTEIN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, MAY 2, 2012  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Wednesday, May 2, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S194928 Christopher Magness, Petitioner,  
v.  
Superior Court of Sacramento County, Respondent;  
The People, Real Party in Interest.

Cause called. Sally Espinoza, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Real Party in Interest.

Arthur L. Bowie, Office of the Public Defender, argued for Petitioner.

Ms. Espinoza replied.  
Cause submitted.

S189317 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,  
v.  
Brandon Alexander Favor, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Allen G. Weinberg, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

Gary Lieberman, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Weinberg replied.  
Cause submitted.

S187587

In re Ethan C. et al., Persons Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

---

Los Angeles County, Department of Children and Family Services,  
Plaintiff and Appellant.

v.

William C., Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Christopher Blake, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for  
Defendant and Appellant.

Kim Nemoy, Office of the County Counsel, argued for Plaintiff and  
Appellant.

Tahra Broderson, Office of the County Counsel, argued for Plaintiff and  
Appellant.

Mr. Blake replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the court and officers present as first shown.

S179176

Alfredo Gomez, Petitioner,

v.

Superior Court of Lassen County, Respondent;

Tom Felker, as Warden, etc., Real Party in Interest.

and Consolidated Case.

Cause called. Michael Satris, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for  
Petitioner.

Christopher J. Rench, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Real Party  
in Interest.

Mr. Satris replied.

Cause submitted.

S030402

The People, Respondent,

v.

Richard Christopher Tully, Appellant.



Cause called. James Thomson, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

Margo Yu, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Thomson replied.

Cause submitted.

S192531

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Juan Jose Villatoro, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Edward J. Haggerty, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

William Shin, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Haggerty replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until Thursday, May 3, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.

